



July 14, 2017

U.S. Small Cap Value Strategy

The Beat Goes On

Despite a Fed rate hike, oil price volatility and political uncertainty (including delays surrounding tax cuts and healthcare reform), the U.S. markets continued to roll forward in the second quarter of 2017: the Dow +3.95%, the S&P 500 +3.09%, the Nasdaq +4.16% and the Russell 2000 +2.46%. Large caps outperformed small caps. Growth outperformed value (again). From a sector perspective within the S&P 500, the leaders were healthcare, industrials and financials while the laggards were telecom, energy and consumer staples. As they say, “the beat goes on...”

Early in the second quarter, we sold two companies that were acquired (AIRM and CEB), initiated one new position (EPAM) and added to two existing positions (EPAY and MINI) but otherwise there wasn’t much activity. Warren Buffett once said: “Lethargy bordering on sloth remains the cornerstone of our investment style.” In another letter to shareholders he noted: “Inactivity strikes us as intelligent behavior. Neither we nor most business managers would dream of feverishly trading highly profitable subsidiaries because a small move in the Federal Reserve’s discount rate was predicted or because some Wall Street pundit had reversed his views on the market.” The lack of activity does not concern us given that we like what we own and that we have a long-term investment horizon. That said, we certainly do not want anyone to misinterpret our lack of activity as a lack of effort or a lack of interest. While we continue to identify high quality businesses, more often than not, those companies have been added to our watch list because valuations have remained stubbornly elevated. In any case, we will remain disciplined and true to our investment philosophy/process and wait patiently for the right opportunities to present themselves.

We thank you for your trust and support and look forward to speaking with you soon.

Performance (periods ending June 30, 2017):

	2Q17	YTD	1YR	3YR	5YR	7YR	10YR	SI*
BCA (Gross)	2.96%	10.05%	20.94%	8.13%	13.95%	14.93%	7.80%	13.98%
R2000V	0.67%	0.54%	24.86%	7.02%	13.39%	13.50%	5.92%	10.67%
R2500V	0.32%	1.95%	18.36%	6.21%	13.69%	14.10%	6.52%	11.43%
+ / - R2000V	2.29%	9.51%	-3.92%	1.11%	0.56%	1.43%	1.88%	3.31%
+ / - R2500V	2.64%	8.10%	2.58%	1.92%	0.26%	0.83%	1.28%	2.55%

	2Q17	YTD	1YR	3YR	5YR	7YR	10YR	SI*
BCA (Net)	2.78%	9.68%	20.23%	7.49%	13.26%	14.19%	7.08%	13.09%
R2000V	0.67%	0.54%	24.86%	7.02%	13.39%	13.50%	5.92%	10.67%
R2500V	0.32%	1.95%	18.36%	6.21%	13.69%	14.10%	6.52%	11.43%
+ / - R2000V	2.11%	9.14%	-4.63%	0.47%	-0.13%	0.69%	1.16%	2.42%
+ / - R2500V	2.46%	7.73%	1.87%	1.28%	-0.43%	0.09%	0.56%	1.66%

*The Bernzott U.S. Small Cap Value strategy inception date is January 1, 1995. See last page for full GIPS compliant disclosure.

2Q 2017 Strategy Overview:

The U.S. Small Cap Value composite's 2Q17 return was +2.78% (net) compared to the benchmark Russell 2000 Value's return of +0.67%. In 2Q17, the strategy's +211 bps of outperformance was primarily driven by strength in Health Care (+146 bps), underweighting in Energy (+98 bps) and strength in Materials (+64 bps) offset in part by weakness in Consumer Discretionary (-86 bps).

The top three contributors were Catalent (CTLT), Owens-Illinois (OI) and Callaway Golf (ELY). The top three detractors were Gentex (GNTX), Cinemark (CNK) and SP Plus (SP). During the quarter we sold Air Methods (AIRM) and CEB (CEB) and initiated EPAM Systems (EPAM); please see the summary below. We ended the quarter with 25 positions.

We continue to believe the portfolio is well positioned for long-term appreciation with a weighted-average discount to fair value of 17.8% at the end of 2Q17.

2Q17: Top 3 Contributors	Ticker	Weight	Return	Contrib.
Catalent, Inc.	CTLT	5.18%	23.93%	1.09%
Owens-Illinois, Inc.	OI	3.70%	17.34%	0.60%
Callaway Golf Company	ELY	4.13%	15.51%	0.60%

Catalent, Inc. (CTLT): CTLT, the leading global provider of advanced dosage delivery technologies, delivered very strong fiscal 3Q17 earnings and reiterated full-year guidance. Quarterly results were impressive with revenue +22%, Adjusted EBITDA +46% and Adjusted Net Income +81% vs. last year as CTLT saw across-the-board strength, with all three business segments delivering double-digit organic growth in the quarter. Leverage remains somewhat elevated as a result of recent acquisition activity, but should improve by year-end. Similarly, capex spending should decrease/normalize in the future helping to drive incremental free cash flow generation. Business fundamentals and the long-term outlook remain positive. We maintained our position.

Owens-Illinois, Inc. (OI): OI reported solid 1Q17 results ahead of both consensus expectations and last year as CEO Andres Lopez continues to push forward his "transformation" of the world's largest glass packaging company. Revenue increased +2%, segment operating profit +3% and segment operating margin was 13.7% (+20 bps). OI continues to deleverage the balance sheet following the Vitro acquisition. Asbestos payments continue to decline. Mr. Lopez is changing the culture at OI and putting an emphasis on operational discipline that should lead to further margin expansion, higher profitability and cash flow. We maintained our position.

Callaway Golf Company (ELY): ELY posted blowout quarterly results and raised its full year outlook. Results were driven by an outstanding market reaction to the company's EPIC driver, along with strong performance across most of the company's other product lines. Callaway has the #1 driver and the #1 hard goods share in the U.S., U.K., Japan, and Korea. U.S. market share continues to increase in hard goods and golf balls. Additionally, market conditions have stabilized, field inventory is reasonable, average selling prices continue to rise, and promotional activity has been minimal. Japan and the U.K. had strong quarterly performance as well. Meanwhile, news flow for TopGolf remains very positive and all indications lead us to believe that an IPO may occur in the future. We were very fortunate to have Patrick Burke, Vice President, Treasury, Corporate Development & Investor Relations, speak at our private client event in May 2017. Patrick has been with Callaway for 22 years and has a wealth of knowledge about the company's inner-workings and the golf industry. We continue to maintain a full position.

2Q17: Top 3 Detractors	Ticker	Weight	Return	Contrib.
Gentex Corporation	GNTX	4.51%	-10.69%	-0.53%
Cinemark Holdings, Inc.	CNK	4.18%	-11.74%	-0.53%
SP Plus Corporation	SP	5.04%	-9.51%	-0.53%

Gentex Corporation (GNTX): Our investment thesis with GNTX has always included the premise that even if auto sales soften, GNTX will enjoy sustained growth as auto-dimming mirrors continue to penetrate the automobile market. This theory continues to play out as 1Q17 revenue and auto dimming mirrors increased 12% quarter-over-quarter despite decreasing auto sales. Still, there are some indications that the overall automobile market in the U.S. peaked in 2016 and a material downturn in auto sales will cast a shadow over suppliers such as GNTX. This overall concern with the automobile market was likely the reason GNTX stock decreased over 10% in 2Q17. However, GNTX continues to add nameplates for its full-display mirror, cash flow remains very strong, the de-leveraging continues and there were no changes in full-year guidance. Cash levels continue to rise so we expect continued stock buybacks and dividend increases while recognizing M&A is a key consideration. We maintained our position.

Cinemark Holdings, Inc. (CNK): CNK posted blockbuster 1Q17 results. For the fourth consecutive year, CNK reported record results in all key performance metrics: attendance, admissions revenue, average ticket price, concessions revenue, concessions per cap, total revenue, EBITDA, and EBITDA margin. The North America industry box office was +4.5%. CNK has now outpaced the industry for 29 out of the past 33 quarters. On a constant currency basis, international revenue was +11%, with ticket prices +12% and concessions per cap +11%. The company remains focused on aggressively investing in luxury loungers and now has a total of 1,209 screens with luxury loungers, comprising 27% of the company’s domestic circuit. By year end, 40% of U.S. auditoriums will feature luxury loungers, +80% vs. the previous year. To-date, theaters with luxury loungers have shown attendance growth of ~40% for theaters that have had them in place for >6 months. Despite strong 1Q17 results, the stock has lagged recently as the U.S. box office has been weaker in 2Q17. Turnout for hit movie sequels including, The Fate of the Furious, Guardians of the Galaxy Vol. 2, Despicable Me 3, and Cars 3 have been disappointing. We view this as a temporary setback as opposed to a structural issue with the business. We continue to maintain our position.

SP Plus Corporation (SP): Parking management company SP Plus reported a solid 1Q17, notwithstanding some softness in stock price, as earnings beat consensus and the company affirmed full-year guidance. The company noted several wins, including a renewal of the parking management contract at the Denver International Airport for at least five more years. Additionally, the company announced the plan to focus on opportunities in the hospitality space. One possible reason for the stock price weakness is that the New York market continues to be challenged by some downward volume and revenue pressures, which is having an outsized impact on certain lease locations. The effect on the New York market could be due to the increase in ride-sharing activity as there are some indications of lower auto volume at hospitality locations. In any case, management is confident with the full-year cash flow guidance, and based on the 1Q17 results, we are happy with operations and capital allocation, and we retain our full position.

1H17: Top 3 Contributors	Ticker	Weight	Return	Contrib.
The Advisory Board Company	ABCO	5.32%	54.86%	2.21%
Air Methods Corporation	AIRM	2.72%	34.97%	1.48%
Catalent, Inc.	CTLT	4.87%	30.20%	1.36%

The Advisory Board Company (ABCO): ABCO posted 2Q17 results that came in ahead of guidance and consensus. Results were driven by strength in the Education business, which saw member count +14% and revenue +15% versus the previous year. Retention rates remain strong at 94%. Healthcare remains pressured as clients maintain a “wait and see” approach following the U.S. Presidential election results in November, along with the subsequent reform in the Affordable Care Act. Meanwhile, a sale of the business looks like a probable outcome. Recently, Bloomberg reported that the company could be nearing a deal to sell the Education unit to Vista Equity Partners and the Healthcare business to UnitedHealth. We believe that ABCO is a great business, with “must have” product offerings and we continue to maintain a full position.

Air Methods Corporation (AIRM): AIRM was acquired by private equity firm American Securities LLC for \$43.00 per share in cash in April 2017. We sold our ownership position during the second quarter.

Catalent, Inc. (CTLT): Please see commentary above.

1H17: Top 3 Detractors	Ticker	Weight	Return	Contrib.
Compass Minerals International, Inc.	CMP	2.87%	-15.12%	-0.51%
Generac Holdings Inc.	GNRC	3.74%	-11.33%	-0.48%
Mistras Group, Inc.	MG	2.58%	-14.48%	-0.47%

Compass Minerals International, Inc. (CMP): Mild weather and increased salt costs continue to cause issues for roadway salt and plant nutrition producer CMP. For the full winter season, CMP recorded 120 snow events, which was 24% below the 10-year average. Plant nutrition has been making progress as CMP continues to cut costs and volumes make a comeback. The North American plant nutrition business is benefiting from the stabilization of the SOP market and CMP management expects this to continue. The purchase of Produquimica is meeting expectations and management expects strong South American volume during the remainder of the year. CMP anticipates material variability by region in the current salt bidding season and admitted it was too early to tell how prices would react. As long-term investors, however, we look beyond a single year of pricing and volume and appreciate the irreplaceable assets owned by CMP and the cost improvements at the Goderich mine and the Utah evaporation facility. In addition, a material decrease in capex requirements in future years should drive a significant increase in free cash flow. Our assumptions include normal weather over a significant period of time and we believe patient investors will be rewarded. We maintained our position.

Generac Holdings Inc. (GNRC): GNRC posted 2Q17 results that were better than expected and reiterated full year guidance. Organic revenue was +1.7% vs. last year and is expected to be +1% to +3% for the full year. Residential revenue was -2.6% vs. last year, though, due to excess field inventories for home standby generators and portable generators entering the quarter. There was some improvement in localized power outage activity in the Midwest during the quarter, which should help reduce inventory levels to more seasonably normalized levels. The Industrial segment saw some improvement in shipments of stationary products in North America. Latin American has also seen decent sales volumes after weakness for the past year. Pramac has performed “very well” since the acquisition closed in March 2016. Despite some short-term challenges, GNRC still has a dominant position in its residential business, generates strong margins, and has ample opportunities for decent growth. We continue to maintain our position.

Mistras Group, Inc. (MG): MG, a provider of asset protection solutions, reported lackluster 1Q17 results. Revenue -2.5% as strength in International markets were more than offset by weakness in North America. Gross margin -2% but gross margin +20 bps. Adjusted EBITDA -11.3% and Adjusted EBITDA margin -80 bps vs. last year. MG has continued to proactively deal with difficult market conditions caused in part by low oil prices by cutting costs to improve margins and improve cash flow. The company is also opportunistically looking at M&A and has a full pipeline. Management feels the company’s services continue to offer an attractive value proposition and believes that the long-term outlook for the business remains promising. We agree; we maintained our position.

2Q 2017 Portfolio Activity:

- Exited two position: Air Methods Corporation (AIRM) and CEB, Inc. (CEB).
- Initiated one position: EPAM Systems, Inc. (EPAM).
- Added to two positions: Bottomline Technologies (de), Inc. (EPAY) and Mobile Mini, Inc. (MINI).

New Position

EPAM Systems, Inc. (EPAM): \$4.3 billion mkt. cap. Founded in 1993 and based in Newtown, PA, EPAM provides outsourced product development and software engineering solutions. EPAM has a host of blue-chip clients, including Adidas, Barclays, Coca-Cola, Expedia, Google, Oracle, SAP, Thomson Reuters, and UBS. ~90% of revenue is recurring in nature and the top 10 clients have been with EPAM for an average of nine years. The company has exposure to highly attractive end-markets, as ~70% of the company’s revenue is derived from social, media, analytics, and cloud solutions - all of which are highly complex and require specialized expertise that EPAM provides. These end market have enabled the company to grow organic revenue at 20%+ for 25 consecutive quarters, while generating solid operating margins between 16% - 18%. The balance sheet is pristine with ~\$7 per share in net cash (~8% of the mkt. cap.). The CEO/founder owns >\$200mm of stock. We initiated our position in April 2017.

Bernzott Organization Update:

Bernzott Capital Advisors ended 2Q 2017 managing \$694 million with \$418 million in our U.S. Small Cap Value strategy.

The Bernzott U.S. Small Cap Value Fund is available on most major mutual fund platforms including Fidelity and Schwab. As of June 30, 2017, the Fund had \$83 million in assets. For more information on the Fund please visit: <http://bcafunds.com/>.

Thank you for your continued interest and support.

Bernzott Capital Advisors claims compliance with the Global Investment Performance Standards (GIPS®) and has prepared and presented this report in compliance with the GIPS standards. Bernzott Capital Advisors has been independently verified for the periods of Jan. 1, 1995 through Sept. 30, 2015. Verification assesses whether the firm has complied with all the composite construction requirements of the GIPS standards on a firm-wide basis and the firm's policies and procedures are designed to calculate and present performance in compliance with the GIPS standards. The US Small Cap Value composite has been examined for the periods of Jan. 1, 1995 through Sept. 30, 2015. The verification and performance examination reports are available upon request.

	# of Portfolios in Composite at period end	Total Composite Assets (\$ millions) at period end	Composite Equity Only Assets (\$ millions) at period end ⁴	Composite Dispersion % ³	Composite 3 Yr Standard Deviation	Russell 2000 Value 3 Yr Standard Deviation	Russell 2500 Value 3 Yr Standard Deviation	Total US Small Cap Value Assets ² (\$ millions)	Total Firm-wide Assets Under Management (\$ millions)	Composite Assets as a % of US Small Cap Assets at period end	Composite Assets as a % of Firm-wide Assets at period end	Bernzott Gross of Fees	Bernzott Net of Fees	Russell 2000 Value	Russell 2500 Value
1995 ¹	2	< \$1.0	< \$1.0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$ 0.8	\$ 3.0	n/a	n/a	26.61%	25.24%	25.75%	29.76%
1996	8	\$ 1.7	\$ 1.2	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$ 2.2	\$ 6.3	77.3%	27.0%	28.53%	27.14%	21.37%	22.21%
1997	17	\$ 4.3	\$ 3.0	4.7%	8.88	9.41	8.89	\$ 5.4	\$ 11.1	79.6%	38.7%	32.57%	31.21%	31.78%	33.09%
1998	33	\$ 7.1	\$ 5.9	3.2%	11.09	15.10	14.88	\$ 8.6	\$ 17.7	82.6%	40.1%	11.52%	10.49%	-6.45%	-1.92%
1999	53	\$ 14.6	\$ 10.5	5.6%	13.29	16.32	16.14	\$ 16.6	\$ 31.3	88.0%	46.7%	-1.56%	-2.43%	-1.49%	1.49%
2000	54	\$ 19.0	\$ 14.1	9.0%	14.23	16.61	16.55	\$ 21.0	\$ 37.8	90.5%	50.3%	28.59%	27.53%	22.83%	20.79%
2001	70	\$ 32.1	\$ 24.3	6.2%	13.24	14.65	14.62	\$ 36.1	\$ 49.6	88.9%	64.7%	19.21%	18.37%	14.02%	9.74%
2002	152	\$ 53.3	\$ 38.4	4.2%	11.28	17.39	16.27	\$ 74.1	\$ 100.8	71.9%	52.9%	11.89%	11.13%	-11.42%	-9.87%
2003	177	\$ 123.3	\$ 98.3	4.7%	9.86	18.42	16.97	\$ 170.6	\$ 195.0	72.3%	63.2%	38.95%	37.92%	46.03%	44.93%
2004	273	\$ 168.4	\$ 138.2	4.0%	10.73	17.51	15.68	\$ 232.9	\$ 299.4	72.3%	56.3%	19.42%	18.51%	22.25%	21.58%
2005	403	\$ 436.4	\$ 299.0	2.2%	11.28	14.09	12.81	\$ 507.1	\$ 515.9	86.1%	84.6%	0.81%	-0.05%	4.71%	7.74%
2006	338	\$ 592.4	n/a	3.4%	10.91	12.33	10.85	\$ 703.6	\$ 800.4	84.2%	74.0%	28.82%	27.72%	23.48%	20.18%
2007	363	\$ 564.8	n/a	2.9%	12.01	12.59	11.03	\$ 622.3	\$ 736.9	90.8%	76.7%	-17.44%	-18.18%	-9.78%	-7.27%
2008	320	\$ 344.9	n/a	4.8%	16.99	19.14	18.38	\$ 405.1	\$ 405.1	85.1%	85.1%	-21.33%	-21.85%	-28.92%	-31.99%
2009	278	\$ 442.5	n/a	5.8%	20.01	25.62	24.61	\$ 481.8	\$ 481.8	91.8%	91.8%	25.32%	24.41%	20.58%	27.68%
2010	94 *	\$ 302.0 *	n/a	1.2%	20.55	28.37	26.97	\$ 319.7	\$ 470.8	94.4%	64.2%	21.13%	20.23%	24.50%	24.82%
2011	80	\$ 195.2	n/a	1.3%	17.70	26.05	24.23	\$ 198.0	\$ 372.0	98.6%	52.5%	9.02%	8.24%	-5.50%	-3.36%
2012	34	\$ 169.2	n/a	1.0%	14.21	19.89	18.41	\$ 192.0	\$ 395.2	88.1%	42.8%	16.81%	16.04%	18.05%	19.21%
2013	35	\$ 237.4	n/a	0.8%	12.34	15.82	15.07	\$ 267.0	\$ 513.6	89.0%	46.2%	34.38%	33.52%	34.52%	33.33%
2014	35	\$ 269.9	n/a	0.4%	10.41	12.79	11.25	\$ 274.7	\$ 528.7	98.2%	51.0%	6.69%	5.98%	4.22%	7.11%
2015	38	\$ 258.2	n/a	0.5%	12.8	13.7	12.19	\$ 339.8	\$ 577.1	75.9%	44.7%	-6.91%	-7.46%	-7.47%	-5.49%
2016	37	\$ 380.9	n/a	0.3%	13.15	15.72	13.36	\$ 405.9	\$ 655.2	93.8%	58.1%	17.65%	16.97%	31.74%	25.2%

¹ Equity product inception: January 1, 1995. ² The difference between this column and the "total composite assets at period end" is the accounts that do not meet the size parameter for the composite and any new acct under mgmt that has not met the waiting period under mgmt to join the composite. ³ 1995 and 1996 dispersion values are presented as n/a since five or fewer accounts are in the composite for the entire annual periods presented. Information is not statistically meaningful due to an insufficient number of portfolios in the composite for the entire year, and is shown as n/a. ⁴ Presented composite performance prior to October 1, 2006 is based upon equity only returns including allocated cash. Composite performance following October 1, 2006 is based on total account returns. * - To accommodate the needs of our high net worth non-institutional clients, Bernzott Capital Advisors has and will purchase equities across the capitalization spectrum, and not limit those purchases to the small cap universe. Effective October 1, 2010, the composite was redefined to only include those clients with a specific small cap mandate. This redefinition and client accommodation has resulted in a decline of AUM in the US Small Cap Value composite without impacting firm wide AUM.

GIPS Compliance Requirements:

Bernzott Capital Advisors is an equity portfolio investment manager that invests in U.S.-based securities. Bernzott Capital Advisors is defined as an independent investment management firm that is not affiliated with any organization.

The US Small Cap Value Composite includes all fully discretionary portfolios that invest in small capitalization U.S. stocks that are considered to have risk-adjusted returns purchased, at reasonable prices. The composite includes concentrated portfolios of market leading companies with consistent operating performance, significant recurring revenue, solid operating margin, moderate leverage and strong returns on capital. A size parameter of \$250,000 is applied for composite membership. As of October 1, 2006, composite asset performance is derived from total account performance and eligible accounts are added to the composite after accounts are under management for two complete quarters. Prior to October 1, 2006, the composite was constructed from fully discretionary small cap equity only portfolios and fully discretionary small cap equity segment carve outs of accounts included in the firm composite. Prior to October 1, 2006, accounts were included in the composite their first full quarter under management.

The Bernzott's benchmark is the Russell 2000 Value Index (taken from published sources). The Russell 2500 Value Index is provided as a secondary benchmark.

Gross-of-Fees returns reflect only the deduction of trading costs. Net performance returns reflect the deduction from gross performance of all trading costs, actual management fees and embedded fees.

Since January 1, 2005 non-fee-paying accounts represent <1% of the composite assets. For the period Jan. 1, 2004 through Dec. 31, 2004 non-fee-paying accounts represent 1% of the composite assets. For the period Jan. 1, 1998 through Dec. 31, 2003 non-fee-paying accounts represent 2% of the composite assets.

Bernzott performance is stated in US dollars.

Prior to 10/1/06 the annual composite dispersion was an asset-weighted standard deviation calculation for the equity only portion of the account in the composite for the entire year and calculations did not take into account the effect of cash. Following that date, the annual composite dispersion is an asset-weighted standard deviation calculation using total account returns. 1995 and 1996 dispersion values are presented as n/a since five or fewer accounts are in the composite for the entire annual periods presented.

Returns are presented gross and net of management fees and include the reinvestment of all income. Bernzott's standard institutional account fee schedule to-date is 90 bp on the first \$10 million, 80 bp on the next \$15 million, 75 bp on the next \$25 million, and 65 bp on the next \$50 million. Bernzott's standard private client account fee schedule to-date is 100 bp on the first \$2 million, 75 bp on the next \$3 million, 50 bp on the next \$5 million. Actual investment advisory fees incurred by clients may vary.

Bernzott's composite was created July 1, 1999 and composite membership parameters were revised December 1, 2006 effective October 1, 2006. A complete list of Bernzott's composites is available upon request.

The policies of valuing portfolios, calculating performance and preparing compliant presentations are available upon request.

Bernzott does not utilize leverage, derivatives or short positions.

Bernzott does not have any significant company events to disclose.

A size parameter of \$250,000 is applied for composite membership. The minimum account size was implemented January 1, 2001. As of October 1, 2006, composite asset performance is derived from total account performance. Prior to October 1, 2006, the composite was constructed from fully discretionary small cap equity only portfolios and fully discretionary small cap equity segment carve outs of accounts included in the firm composite. Prior to January 1, 2004, the composite was known as the Small/Mid Cap Domestic Equity Composite. There was no change in the investment process as a result of the composite name change. Prior to October 1, 2006, carve-out portfolio segments were included in this composite and cash was allocated to the composite on a set percentage of 5%. As of October 1, 2006, portfolio segments are not included in this composite and all cash and cash equivalents are included in performance.

An account will be removed from the composite membership if a cash outflow reduces the account value below the minimum size parameter. Additional information regarding the treatment of significant cash flows is available upon request.

Supplemental Information - Market Proxy : Russell 2000 Value

* Equity product inception: January 1995	Bernzott Annualized Std Dev	R 2000v Annualized Std Dev	Alpha	Beta	R-Squared	Cumulative				Annualized				
						Bernzott Gross	Bernzott Net	Russell 2000 V	Russell 2500 V	Bernzott Gross	Bernzott Net	Russell 2000 V	Russell 2500 V	
1 Yr	6/30/16-6/30/17	9.35	15.48	8.80	0.47	0.61	20.94	20.23	24.86	18.36	20.94	20.23	24.86	18.36
2 Yrs	6/30/15-6/30/17	14.26	15.80	-0.74	0.79	0.76	14.82	13.50	21.63	18.62	7.15	6.54	10.29	8.91
3 Yrs	6/30/14-6/30/17	12.90	15.35	2.85	0.74	0.77	26.42	24.19	22.58	19.79	8.13	7.49	7.02	6.21
5 Yrs	6/30/12-6/30/17	11.91	13.68	3.72	0.75	0.74	92.14	86.33	87.41	89.91	13.95	13.26	13.39	13.69
7 Yrs	6/30/10-6/30/17	12.80	16.01	4.83	0.72	0.81	164.80	153.17	142.62	151.70	14.93	14.19	13.50	14.10
10 Yrs	6/30/07-6/30/17	15.88	20.29	3.20	0.72	0.84	111.89	98.14	77.78	88.04	7.80	7.08	5.92	6.52
15 Yrs	6/30/02-6/30/17	14.33	18.74	4.65	0.68	0.78	368.93	320.87	243.93	277.86	10.79	10.00	8.53	9.21
22.5 Yrs (Inception)	01/01/95-6/30/17	13.54	17.34	6.78	0.64	0.67	1798.70	1491.96	879.28	1042.18	13.98	13.09	10.67	11.43

Past performance is not indicative of future results.

Prior to April 2013, Schmetter & Associates, LLC, (S&A) served as an independent institutional sales and marketing representative for Camarillo, California based Bernzott Capital Advisors. S&A continues to receive 20-25% of collected revenue from specified institutional clients. S&A is not a broker/dealer. All fees are paid to S&A by Bernzott Capital in hard dollars. No additional amount is ever billed to any client as a result of such payments.